

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/523,722	DEL SOLDATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Fiona T. Powers.

(2) Richard Berman.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Berman to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if claim 9 were amended by deleting "according to claim 1". The amendment is necessary since claim 1 is drawn to a compound and is improperly dependent on claim 1 which is drawn to a process. It was agreed that claim 9 would be amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment..